PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

CHIEF HEARING OFFICER DIRECTIVE

DOCKET NO. 2021-9-E ORDER NO. 2021-121-H

SEPTEMBER 16, 2021

CHIEF HEARING OFFICER: David Butler

DOCKET DESCRIPTION:

Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) (See also Docket No. 2019-226-E)

MATTER UNDER CONSIDERATION:

Petition to Intervene of South Carolina Coastal Conservation League ("CCL") and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("SACE") (collectively, "Petitioners")

CHIEF HEARING OFFICER'S ACTION:

This matter comes before the Chief Hearing Officer on the Petition to Intervene of CCL and SACE. The Petition was timely filed. There are no objections to the Petition.

After it has been determined that the Petition has been timely filed, the next question for the Commission is to determine whether or not the petitioning party has clear factual support or grounds for the proposed intervention. S.C. Regs. 103-825A(3) requires that a party making a Petition to Intervene in a matter pending before the SC Public Service Commission must:

set forth clearly and concisely:

- (a) The facts from which the nature of the petitioner's alleged right or interest can be determined:
- (b) The grounds of the proposed intervention;
- (c) The position of the petitioner in the proceeding.

The Petition asserts that the Petitioners intervened and submitted testimony in DESC's 2020 IRP proceeding (DN 2019-226-E) and have attended each of the DESC IRP Stakeholder Workshops. The Petition also notes that on August 25, 2021, the Commission consolidated the above-referenced Docket with Docket No. 2019-226-E. Through their involvement in this proceeding, the Petitioners seek to promote the adoption of near-term renewables and clean energy alternatives, as well as transparent and robust resource planning. Further, Petitioners note that their participation in this proceeding is aimed at supporting clean energy decisions that will lead to cleaner, safer, and healthier communities for all South Carolinians.

Based upon the facts and applicable law, the Petition to Intervene of CCL and SACE should be granted.

The Petition clearly meets the criteria for a Petition to Intervene found in S.C. Regs. 103-825A(3). Further, the Petition was timely filed, and no objections have been stated. Accordingly, the Petition to Intervene of CCL and SACE is granted.